

# The Coordinated Services Team Initiative Southern Regional Meeting

---

Adult Disability Resource Center (ADRC)  
Wednesday, May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2014  
**Summary of 16 Evaluations**

**1. What is your overall evaluation of the meeting?**

	1 Unsatisfactory	2 Satisfactory	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excellent
<b># of Responses:</b>	0	0	1	9	6

**Average Score:** 4.3

**2. Was the meeting relevant to your needs?**

	Yes	No	Somewhat
<b># of Responses:</b>	12	0	4

**3. What aspect or topic did you find the most helpful?**

- (5) Advanced Team Facilitation skill-building workshop
- (4) The NIATx discussion and networking
- (3) CST basics / review of essentials
- (2) All
- Roles, strengths, and goals activity.
- Loved the training - nice change compared to past regional meetings.
- The "CST 101" refresher was o.k., but have heard it a few times.
- I found most of it useful.
- Listening to others

**4. What would you suggest to improve regional meetings?**

- (3) More time for sharing and discussion of challenges, solutions, and resources
- An orientation for new CST sites regarding the process may have been beneficial; allowing this meeting to focus on advanced facilitation skills.
- State update
- Explain acronyms, or have a cheat sheet.
- Rebecca was knowledgeable but didn't seem comfortable with the material, which came across in her presentation
- One-day training on team facilitation
- I am new to the CST process, so I have a lot to learn - some stuff seemed like "newbie" information while other information was several steps ahead of me.

**5. Please evaluate the presenter using a scale of 1-5.**

**1 – Poor      3 – Average      5 - Excellent**

<b>Presenter</b>	<b>Knowledge of material</b>	<b>Relevance of content</b>	<b>Presentation/ Organization</b>
Dan Naylor	4.9	4.8	4.8
Rebecca Wigg-Ninham	4.1	4.2	4.2

*(Average scores shown above)*

**6. Please add any additional comments/suggestions you might have:**

- (4) Thank you!
- (2) It would have been beneficial to collaborate amongst the entire group rather than small groups (when focusing on AIMS) as there seemed to be a common overlap.
- I feel like the benefits of the NIATx process could have been explained more as a tool rather than another process we need to follow. I am a little concerned that the focus of the CST statewide expansion is solely on creating data rather than keeping the "community" feel of the process. This process is extremely unique in the way that it works with families and I am concerned that it is being lumped into a "strict" state program, thus losing its community/family approach. I hope that the state continues to coordinate with White Pine, as I feel like the true heart of CST is always the focus of White Pine.
- I enjoy that there is an actual training. The meeting feels like it has purpose.
- Very informative
- I really enjoyed the day!
- Dan's presentation and examples are always helpful.
- I think the NIATx information is interesting, but I'm not sure how relevant/important it was to review - the CST information was, in my opinion, the same/similar to the NIATx information, so it seemed a bit repetitive to me.